

This matter is before the Court on the Defendant's Notice of Appeal (Filing No. 87), the Clerk's memorandum regarding in forma pauperis status (Filing No. 88), and the Motion for Reconsideration (Filing No. 89). A motion for a certificate of appealability was not filed. The Defendant appeals from the Order (Filing No. 82) denying his motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2255. The Notice of Appeal will be also considered as a request for a certificate for appealability.

Before the Defendant may appeal the denial of his § 2255 motion, a “Certificate of Appealability” must issue. Pursuant to the Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996, Pub. L. No. 104-132, 110 Stat. 1214 (“AEDPA”), the right to appeal the denial of a § 2255 motion is governed by the certificate of appealability requirements of 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c). 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2) provides that a certificate of appealability may issue only if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right:

(c)(1) Unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability, an appeal may not be taken to the court of appeals from—

□ □ □ □

(B) the final order in a proceeding under section 2255.

(2) A certificate of appealability may issue under paragraph (1) only if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right.

(3) The certificate of appealability under paragraph (1) shall indicate which specific issue or issues satisfy the showing required by paragraph (2).

28 U.S.C. § 2253(c).

A “substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right” requires a demonstration “that reasonable jurists could debate whether (or, for that matter, agree that) the petition should have been resolved in a different manner or that the issues presented were “adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further.”” *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000) (citing *Barefoot v. Estelle*, 463 U.S. 880, 893 n.4 (1983)).

For the reasons stated in the Court’s Memorandum and Order (Filing No. 82), the Court concludes that the Defendant has not made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right as required by 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c).

IT IS ORDERED:

1. A certificate of appealability is denied;
2. The Clerk of Court shall provide a copy of this Order to the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals;
3. A copy of this Memorandum and Order shall be mailed to the Defendant at his last known address; and
4. The Motion for Reconsideration (Filing No. 89) is denied.

DATED this 28th day of May, 2013.

BY THE COURT:

s/Laurie Smith Camp
Chief United States District Judge